

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/084,177	Applicant(s) TAKAI ET AL.	
	Examiner Hadi Akhavannik	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:

(1) Hadi Akhavannik.

(2) Ken Moore. 56272

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Discussed rejection of independent claims.

Claims discussed:

claims 1, 6, 7

Prior art documents discussed:

Hill (5471239)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed an amendment to overcome the prior art by relating the claims to the field of defect detection. The Applicant agreed and amended claims accordingly.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JOSEPH MANCUSO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)